

Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date:

Document: EN 12899-4 prA1 (E) Februar 2011

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
MB ¹	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex / Comment (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. Table 1)	Type of com- ment ²	Comment (justification for change) by the MB	Proposed change by the MB	Secretariat observations on each comment submitted
CZ	2	Modification to Foreword		Agree		
CZ	5	Modification to Clause 2		Agree		
CZ	6	Modification to Clause 3		Agree		
CZ	8	Modification to 4.2		<p>General comment to tables 5 – 11. For each essential requirement and its characteristics the tables should contain references to relevant clauses of relevant standards according to which a test should be performed.</p> <p>Table 5. Is this table relevant to retroreflective sheeting material only?</p> <p>Table 5. Why is impact resistance test conducted in FPC but is not included in new proposal of EN 12899-1 nor in EN 12899-6:2010? According to what clause and what standard should be impact resistance test be performed?</p> <p>Table 5. In the box “Coefficient of retroreflection – FPC – Level ...” harmonize units of angle α with EN 12899-6:2010 (the angle is stated in degrees not minutes).</p> <p>Table 5. Durability. Why is the test conducted only every 5 years? The samples are exposed to the weather for 3 years should the test be conducted</p>		

1 **MB** = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. FR for France; comments from the CMC editing unit are identified by ***)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

NOTE Columns 1, 2, 4, 5 are compulsory.

Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date:

Document: EN 12899-4 prA1 (E) Februar 2011

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
MB ¹	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex / Comment (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. Table 1)	Type of com- ment ²	Comment (justification for change) by the MB	Proposed change by the MB	Secretariat observations on each comment submitted
				<p>according to EN 12899-6:2010. There are 2 years of discontinuity. How should this testing work in real life?</p> <p>Table 6. Should we accept changes to EN 12899-1:2007, abbreviation “NPD” will not be defined anymore. Instead of option “No Performance Determined” there will be an option “No requirement”</p> <p>Table 8. Should we accept changes to EN 12899-1:2007, abbreviation “NPD” will not be defined anymore. Instead of option “No Performance Determined” there will be an option “No requirement”</p> <p>Table 8 box “Performance under impact” not specified whether this should be tested also for retroreflective material. Should we accept the test is not included in new proposal of EN 12899-1 nor in EN 12899-6:2010 for retroreflective material? According to what clause and what standard should be impact resistance test be performed?</p> <p>Table 8 box “Durability”. Not specified resistance to which weathering natural or artificial? Why is the test conducted only every 5 years? The samples are exposed to the weather for 3 years should the test be conducted according to EN 12899-6:2010.</p>		

1 **MB** = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. FR for France; comments from the CMC editing unit are identified by ***)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

NOTE Columns 1, 2, 4, 5 are compulsory.

Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date:

Document: **EN 12899-4 prA1 (E) Februar 2011**

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
MB¹	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex / Comment (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. Table 1)	Type of com- ment²	Comment (justification for change) by the MB	Proposed change by the MB	Secretariat observations on each comment submitted
				<p>There are 2 years of discontinuity. How should this testing work in real life?</p> <p>Table 10 and 11. Why is durability of delineator posts and retroreflectors tested every 3 years as opposed to 5 years as in previous tables?</p> <p>NOTE: first sentence "If the batch cannot be defined in the terms of the definition within 12899-4 ..." How could that be possible?</p>		

¹ **MB** = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. FR for France; comments from the CMC editing unit are identified by ***)

² **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

NOTE Columns 1, 2, 4, 5 are compulsory.